



General Assembly

Distr.: General
17 July 2020

Original: English

Human Rights Council

Forty-fourth session

15 June–3 July 2020

Agenda item 6

Universal periodic review

Report of the Working Group on the Universal Periodic Review*

Kiribati

Addendum

Views on conclusions and/or recommendations, voluntary commitments and replies presented by the State under review

* The present document was not edited before being sent to the United Nations translation services.

GE.20-09654(E)



* 2 0 0 9 6 5 4 *

Please recycle The recycling symbol, consisting of three chasing arrows forming a triangle.



1. Kiribati welcomes the recommendations made during its 3rd UPR on January 28, 2020. The Secretary within the Ministry of Justice held a meeting with the Kiribati National Human Rights Taskforce (KNHRTF) to share specific recommendations related to each stakeholder.
2. Thorough review of the 129 recommendations by the KNHRTF allowed each stakeholder to take into account budgetary constraints faced at different levels in the GOK, when deciding whether to accept or note recommendations that will be implemented by their Ministries, as the major issue is the lack of resources. In considering the limitation of budgets, there is a need to acquire external funding to enable Kiribati to scale up the implementation of the recommendations that have been accepted.
3. Kiribati has chosen to either accept or note all recommendations. It is noted that, in a number of cases, especially the current Kiribati law and practices, i.e. partially or as a whole, already reflects the contents of the recommendations made. Hence, GOK does not see the need for additional action in all cases in which recommendations have been accepted.
4. Recommendations were noted for different reasons. In some cases, the GOK accepts the objective of a recommendation, but cannot commit to implementing the measures that have been proposed to achieve that objective. In other cases, no additional measures are put in place for the time being. Moreover, some recommendations are developed based on inaccurate assumptions or assertions, which led to a decision to note the recommendations.

Response to the recommendations

80.1–2	Noted.
80.3–11	Accepted.
80.12	Accepted for Part 1: CAT already ratified by GOK. Noted for Part 2: GOK is still in the process for consideration.
80.13–14	Accepted.
80.15–16	Noted.
80.17	Accepted.
80.18–24	Noted.
80.25–27	Accepted.
80.28–32	Noted.
80.33	Accepted.
80.34.	Noted.
80.35–36	Accepted.
80.37–44	Noted.
80.45–55	Accepted.
80.56–58.	Noted.
80.59–66	Accepted.
80.67	Noted.
80.68	Accepted.
80.69	Noted.
80.70–78	Accepted.
80.79	Noted.
80.80–90	Accepted.

80.91	Noted.
80.92	Accepted.
80.93–99	Noted.
80.100–118.	Accepted.
80.119	Noted.
80.120–129	Accepted.
Total	Accepted 88. Noted 40. Accepted/Noted 1.
