

manufacturing economies which require protection against exports from developing countries, thus facilitating access to the markets of food and agricultural products;

19. *Recommends* that the generalized system of preferences should be expanded to cover a wider range of processed and semi-processed products and, whenever possible, agricultural commodities and that the system of information on using the generalized system of preferences, providing technical assistance, including assistance in the field of research, development and marketing, should be enlarged and improved to enable developing countries to take full advantage of such preferences;

20. *Recommends* that the World Food Council, in accordance with its mandate, should give continuing attention to the impact of food trade on levels of food production in the world, in particular with reference to the economies of the developing countries, using to the maximum extent possible the necessary inputs which the different organs and organizations of the United Nations system should provide;

21. *Recommends* that all Member States and international organizations concerned should take appropriate steps to put into operation the Five-Point Plan of Action on World Food Security approved by the Council of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations at its seventy-fifth session and endorsed by the World Food Council at its fifth ministerial session,⁵⁶ mainly as an interim measure until a new international grain agreement has been concluded.

*104th plenary meeting
14 December 1979*

34/111. Establishment of a University for Peace

The General Assembly,

Recalling that the President of the Republic of Costa Rica submitted for the consideration of the General Assembly at its thirty-third session a proposal for the establishment of a University for Peace, as a specialized international institute for post-graduate studies, research and the dissemination of knowledge specifically aimed at training for peace, within the system of the United Nations University, and offered it to the international community through the United Nations,⁵⁷

Bearing in mind that, in its resolution 33/109 of 18 December 1978, it expressed its appreciation of the proposal made by the President of Costa Rica and asked the Secretary-General to request Member States and various agencies of the United Nations system to communicate their views to him,

Considering that both the Member States that have replied to the Secretary-General and the agencies and bodies consulted—the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, the United Nations University, the United Nations Institute for Training and Research and the Centre for Disarmament of the Secretariat—have closely studied and commended the initiative of the Government of Costa Rica,

Acknowledging with appreciation the report of the Secretary-General, submitted in pursuance of resolution 33/109, in which it is stated that general support has

been expressed for the basic idea underlying the proposal for the establishment of a University for Peace,⁵⁸

Considering that the report of the Secretary-General identifies three principal questions relating to the implementation of the project which require consideration and clarification, namely:

(a) The relation of the new institution to the United Nations University,

(b) Possible duplication between the activities of the University for Peace and those of other existing institutions,

(c) Provision of the necessary financial resources,

1. *Approves* the idea of establishing a University for Peace as an international centre of higher learning for post-graduate studies, research and the dissemination of knowledge specifically aimed at training for peace, with its headquarters in Costa Rica;

2. *Decides* to establish an international commission which, in collaboration with the Government of Costa Rica, shall prepare the organization, structure and setting in motion of the University for Peace, bearing in mind the following conditions:

(a) The University for Peace should be international in character and should be a part of the system of the United Nations University;

(b) The association which may link the University for Peace with the United Nations University should be determined by common agreement between the two institutions;

(c) The University for Peace should be organized and structured in such a manner as not to involve any duplication of effort with other, similar international institutions;

(d) It should be guaranteed that the constitution and operation of the University for Peace will be financed by voluntary contributions and should not have any financial implications for the budget of the United Nations or the United Nations University;

3. *Entrusts* the Secretary-General with the task of constituting the Commission on the University for Peace, composed of eleven members, as follows:

(a) A representative of the Secretary-General;

(b) A representative of the Director-General of the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization;

(c) A representative of the Rector of the United Nations University;

(d) A representative of the international academic community, to be appointed by the Secretary-General in consultation with the Director-General of the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization;

(e) Five experts to be appointed by the Secretary-General from candidates recommended by the Governments of Member States, taking into account the principle of geographical distribution;

(f) Two representatives of the Government of Costa Rica;

4. *Requests* the Commission on the University for Peace to submit to the Secretary-General a report on its findings, in accordance with paragraph 2 above, for subsequent submission by him, together with his comments, to the General Assembly at its thirty-fifth session.

*104th plenary meeting
14 December 1979*

⁵⁶ See *Official Records of the General Assembly, Thirty-fourth Session, Supplement No. 19 (A/34/19)*, part one, para. 27 (d), and part two, para. 65.

⁵⁷ *Ibid.*, *Thirty-third Session, Plenary Meetings*, 11th meeting, paras. 106-122.

⁵⁸ A/34/496, para. 14.