

Distr.: General 29 January 2025

Original: English

## **United Nations Commission on International Trade Law**

## CASE LAW ON UNCITRAL TEXTS (CLOUT)

United Nations Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards – The "New York Convention" (NYC)

Case 2209: NYC V

India: High Court of Delhi

Case No. OMP (EFA) (COMM) 06/2017

Raffles Education Investment (India) Pte. Ltd v. Educomp Professional Education

Limited
7 July 2023

Original in English

Published: 2023 SCC OnLine Del 3936

Available at: https://indiankanoon.org/doc/114868756/

Abstract prepared by Gourab Banerji, Promod Nair, Manisha Singh, George Pothan Poothicote, Arjun Krishnan, Sriharsha Peechara, Ajay Thomas, National Correspondents.

The key issue examined in the case was whether an award which is in contravention of Indian Law would render it unenforceable under Part II of the Indian Arbitration and Conciliation Act 1996.

The petitioner and the respondent, both for-profit entities, partnered to run a joint venture (JV) company in the field of education, through a charitable society. In 2015, the respondent sought to exit the JV, leading to a share purchase agreement where the petitioner would acquire the respondent's shares. The agreement also included provisions for the respondent to ensure the resignation of its representatives in the society, which it failed to do, resulting in a breach of the agreement.

The petitioner was awarded damages in an arbitration award dated 31 March 2017, in a Singapore-seated arbitration administered by a Singaporean arbitral institute. The petitioner subsequently sought enforcement of this award in the Delhi High Court under Chapter I, Part II of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996. The respondent resisted enforcement of the award on the ground of public policy, arguing that the agreement between the parties gave a for-profit entity control over a charitable society and monetized its membership.

The petitioner argued that merely breaking a law or regulation does not automatically breach the "public policy of India" or "fundamental principles of Indian law". It was further argued that, to successfully object on these grounds, a party must demonstrate (1) a clear violation of a core principle, one universally upheld by the Indian courts; and (2) it is proven that enforcing it contradicts established legal principles.





The Court rejected the respondent's objections and confirmed the arbitral award. The Court observed that fundamental Indian legal policy includes public policy which encompasses overarching principles integral to the Indian legal framework. Indian law permits for-profit entities to establish charitable societies, which does not violate foundational legal principles. There is no explicit ban on foreign nationals being society members, and their involvement in charitable societies is not against Indian public policy.

## Note for the reader

This abstract forms part of the system for collecting and disseminating information on court decisions and arbitral awards relating to Conventions and Model Laws that emanate from the work of the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL). The purpose is to facilitate the uniform interpretation of these legal texts by reference to international norms, which are consistent with the international character of the texts, as opposed to strictly domestic legal concepts and tradition. More complete information about the features of the system and its use is provided in the User Guide (A/CN.9/SER.C/GUIDE/1/Rev.3). CLOUT documents are available on the UNCITRAL website at: https://uncitral.un.org/en/case\_law.

The abstracts published under the CLOUT system are prepared by National Correspondents designated by their Governments, by voluntary contributors, or by the UNCITRAL secretariat itself. It should be noted that neither the National Correspondents nor anyone else directly or indirectly involved in the operation of the system assumes any responsibility for any error or omission or other deficiency.

Copyright © United Nations 2025

All rights reserved. Applications for the right to reproduce this work or parts thereof are welcome and should be sent to the Secretary, United Nations Publications Board, United Nations Headquarters, New York, N.Y. 10017, United States of America. Governments and governmental institutions may reproduce this work or parts thereof without permission, but are requested to inform the United Nations of such reproduction.

2/2 V.25-00112