

## Annex VII

### Self-sustainment performance standards

#### Existing support categories — Discussion paper

1. Background. At present, the “all or nothing” provisions of the COE concept deny a contributing country (which is providing partial support to its contingent) eligibility for any reimbursement in a support category if the United Nations is providing any element of support in that category.
2. Taking office support as an illustration, a country could be providing its contingent with office furniture and office equipment and the United Nations could be providing the contingent with only consumable office supplies. The current provisions deny that country any reimbursement, though national authorities have incurred significant costs in providing the other two areas of office support.
3. This has led to the situation where, in the course of some COE negotiations, national and United Nations COE authorities have mutually agreed to the country receiving some percentage of reimbursement eligibility in recognition of the cost incurred reality, notwithstanding that the United Nations may have been providing some element of the support in that category. This practice is a direct contravention of the COE provisions authorized by the General Assembly. It also promotes a potential inconsistency in the reimbursement which one country might receive, compared with that which another country might receive in exactly the same circumstances. This practice, in addition to violating a provision approved by the General Assembly, violates the principles of equity, auditability and transparency, principles which the General Assembly has endorsed among the defining criteria for the new COE system.
4. Proposal. Canada proposes that the current definition of each support area be modified to provide a more flexible and legitimate approach to resolving the problems associated with the current “all or nothing” approach. The proposed approach is admittedly slightly less simple than the current arrangement, but only in the development of the subcategories and attendant rates. The implementation of the proposed approach is a fairly simple and straightforward one. This slight increase in complexity is more than offset by the additional safeguards which the proposal provides in terms of other, equally valid, principles (i.e. fairness/equitability, provision of high-quality logistics support, comprehensiveness, transparency and financial control/auditability). We would also note that, of the existing 15 categories of self-sustainment, four are already subcategorized (i.e. medical, observation, communications and miscellaneous), while the remaining 11 are not. Essentially, the Canadian proposal would involve developing the following subcategories of existing self-sustainment support areas (i.e. based on the performance standards already presented in the COE Manual), in the stated order of priority:
  - (a) Catering — establish separate subcategories for kitchen facilities, kitchen equipment, kitchen supplies, cold and dry food storage, safe/hygienic facilities (including hot water dishwashers, sterilizers, etc.);
  - (b) Office — move automatic data processing to the communications category, separate subcategories for office furniture; office furnishings and office supplies.





















































































































<i>Item</i>	<i>Quantity</i>	<i>Estimated cost (United States dollars)</i>
<b>A. Minor equipment – laboratory kit</b>		
Glucometer	1	(1 000)
Refrigerator	1	(800)
<b>Total</b>		<b>1 800</b>
<b>B. Minor equipment – treatment kit</b>		
Coniotomy set	1	(500)
Oxygen cylinder	2	(400)
Nebulizer	1	(200)
Suction unit	1	(1 000)
Perfusion stands	2	(400)
General purpose sets	3	(600)
Sets for chest-tube insertion, catheterization and venous “cut-downs”	1 set of each	(600)
Crutches	2 pairs	(120)
<b>Total</b>		<b>3 820</b>
<b>C. Minor equipment – diagnosis kit</b>		
Stethoscope	1	(100)
Ophthalmoscope	1	(500)
Otoscope	1	(500)
Reflex mallet		(100)
Thermometers		(50)
Sphygmomanometer	1	(100)
Gynaecological speculum		(300)
Proctoscope	1	(300)
Measuring tape		(10)
Torch		(20)
Miscellaneous additional diagnosis material		(1 000)
X-ray view box	1	(1 000)
<b>Total</b>		<b>3 980</b>

## Annex IX

### Scope of work of the Post Phase V Working Group

No later than six months prior to Working Group meeting

Secretariat will request the appropriate data (1995-1999) for major equipment from the Member States in accordance with annex I and annex III.A of the Phase V Working Group report on methodology for periodic review.

Secretariat will request the appropriate data on generic contingents/units for a period of six months for each category of self-sustainment. The “generic” units are based on the United Nations standby arrangement — table of organizations and equipment (1998) transmitted by Mission Planning Service, Department of Peacekeeping Operations, to all Member States in November 1998.

Secretariat should invite Member States to participate in the post Phase V Working Group.

No later than three months prior to Working Group meeting

Member States will provide data (1995-1999) required in accordance with annex I of the Phase V Working Group report on methodology for periodic review.

Member States will provide data (1995-1999) required in accordance with annex III.A of the Phase V Working Group report on methodology for periodic review on the following equipment for special cases:

Main battle tank	(heavy)
Main battle tank	(medium)
Tank recovery	(heavy)
Tank recovery	(medium)
Infantry carrier	(track)
Infantry carrier	(wheel)
Logistic equipment	
Water tanks/bladders	(by size)
Water purification station	(by capacity)
Fuel tanks/bladders	(by size)

Member States will provide the appropriate data on generic contingents/units for a period of six months for each category of self-sustainment. The “generic” units are based on the United Nations standby arrangement — table of organizations and equipment (1998) transmitted by Mission Planning Service, Department of Peacekeeping Operations, to all Member States in November 1998.

Force Headquarters of 50 personnel

Force Headquarters Support Unit of 65 personnel, to include

- Military Police Detachment of 12 personnel
- Postal Unit of 15 personnel

Mechanized Infantry Battalion of 850 personnel, consisting of

- Headquarters element of 72 personnel
- Three rifle companies of 155 personnel each
- Mechanized company of 173 personnel
- Logistics company of 140 personnel, make-up
  - 20 personnel each
    - (Headquarters, Signals, Engineers, Mortars, and Medical Platoon)
- 25 Quartermaster Platoon
- 15 Maintenance and Transport Platoon

Field Engineer Unit of 505 personnel, consisting of

- Headquarters element of 126 personnel
- 2 field engineer companies of 157 personnel each
  - Support Company of 65 personnel

No later than six weeks prior to Working Group meeting

Secretariat will provide consolidation data (1995-1999) from annex I provided by the Member States in accordance with annex II of the Phase V Working Group on methodology for periodic review.

Secretariat will provide consolidation data (1995-1999) for Special Cases from Member States (annex III.A) in accordance with annex IV.A of the Phase V Working Group on methodology for periodic review.

Secretariat will provide consolidation data for Self-sustainment from Member States in a relative format in accordance with annex IV.B of the Phase V Working Group on methodology for periodic review.

No later than Jan.-Feb. 2001  
(approximately 10 days)

The Working Group meets to consider and discuss the data provided by Member States and collected by the Secretariat and its implications.

---