



FIRST COMMITTEE

24th meeting
held on
Wednesday, 2 November 1988
at 10 a.m.
New York

Official Records\*

VERBATIM RECORD OF THE 24th MEET ING

Chairman: Mr. Roche (Canada)

later: Mr. Batiouk (Ukrainian SSR)
(Vice-Chairman)

**CONTENTS** 

GENERAL DEBATE ON ALL DISARMAMENT ITEMS (continued)

EXPRESSIONS OF SYMPATHY ON THE DEATH OF AIDA LOUISA LEVIN, SENIOR STAFF MEMBER OF THE SECRETARIAT

GENERALDEDATEON ALL DISARMAMENTITEMS (continued)

Distr. GENERAL

A/C. 1/43/PV. 24

## The mreting was oalled to order at 10.25 a.m.

AGENDA ITEMS 51 TO 69, 139, 141 AND 145 (gontinued)

#### GENERAL DEBATEON ALLD IS ARMAMENTITEMS

Mr. KUNDA (Zambia): Let me join other delegatre who have spoken before me in congratulating you, Sir, on your • 14ation to the Chairmanship of the First Committee during thin session of the General Assembly. Your election and that of the other officers is a reaffirmation of the Committee's confidence in your ability to spur the work of the Committee on to a successful conclusion,

Our Committee began its work this year at a time when the international community has high expeatation for international peace and security. The international political climate is characterized by some positive developments. We have, for instance, reen long and complex conflicts give way to negotiatione. In the area of disarmament, the eigning of the Treaty between the United States of America and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on the Elimination of Their Intermediate-Range and Shorter-Range Missiles (INF Treaty) has been 4 signal accompliahment. Although it represents only four per cent of the nuclear arsenals of the two super-Powera, it is significant in that it symbolizes a departure from a past characterized by arms control, limitation and reduction towards a new era of genuine disarmament measures. Its intrusiva verification measures should go a long way towards allaying any under lying mistrust between the par ties concerned and indeed augurs well for future disarmament efforts. The INF régime is also significant in that it has struck at the heart of deterrence, which my country has always considered to be 4 pernicious concept that has rationalized the unbridled accumulation of quantitative and qualitative nuclear weapons ever since the advent of the nuclear era.

(Mr. Kunda, Zambia)

These positive developments manifest a new political realism more likely to give international peace and recurity a chance. They must, therefore, be consolidated so that in the fullness of time more progress 44 can be built upon them. In this connection, we urge the two super-Power ento move with deliberate speed beyond of quoemant in principle to the actual reduction by 50 per cent of their strategic nuclear forces as yet another act of genuine disarmament.

The role of the United Nations as the embodiment of the multilateral pproach in disarmament cannot be overemphasized. It is for that reason that we placed much hope in the third special session of the General Assembly devoted to disarmament here in New York last summer. We regret the failure of that session to agree on a final document. However, that failure should not discourage us from intensifying our efforts. The session helped us to identify the major ouroea of contention where more work needs to be done as we strive towards general and complete disarmament under effective international control.

It cannot be denied that despite improvement in the international political climate, the world is far from achieving its noble goal of general and complete dirarmament. The arms race in its nuclear and conventional forma continues to menace the survival of mankind without any sign of abating.

In recent years, there has been mounting concern about the increase in the naval arms race. More and more nuclear-weapon-bear inq vessels roam the international blue waters today than ever before. It maker a mockery of disarmament efforts if weapons of one kind are removed from one environment but maintained in another. By the same token, we deplore the extension of the arms race into outer space, Outer space is the heritage of mankind. It should be explored and all its potential exploited for peaceful uses to the benefit of all humankind.

# (Mr, Kunda, Zambia)

Zambia attaches great importance to regional dirarmament measures. To that end, we support the creation of nuclear-weapon-free zones wherever they may exist. Thus, Zambia har alwayr been supportive of all efforts aimed at the establishment of the Indian Ocean as a zone of peace.

## (Mr, Kunda, Zambia)

Regrettably, work in the Ad Hoc Committee on the Indian Ocean has for the past 17 Years been painfully slow, and it is currently stalemated over the question of the unfavourable poll tical climate in Afghanistan. In the light of the improvement in the situation in Afghanistan owing to the continuing withdrawal of foreign forces, we see no reason why progress should be held hostage to the situation in that country. For as long as the Indian Ocean is not made into a zone of peace, nuclear weapon8 will continue to be deployed there, contrituting a threat to the survival of the human species.

Talking about nuclear-weapon-free zones brings me to the denuclear ization of Africa. The denualear isation of Africa, agreed upon by the Organisation of African States in 1964, underscored Africa's commitment to disarmament. However, South Africa's acquisition of a nuclear-weapon capability runs counter to the letter and spir it of the denuclearisation of Africa. The racist South African régime has only one purpose in assuming this capability - to use its nuclear weapon8 as an instrument of intimidation of Africa and especially of those African countries in the neighbourhood of South Africa. By so doing, South Africa thinks that it can protect and prolong its obnoxious system of apar theid through the nuclear-weapon fraud. We once again urge those countries that collaborate the with the racist Pretoria régime in the nuclear field to desist from this collaboration, as any collaboration makes them accomplice8 to whatever crime South Africa m' qht commit aga inst it to neighbour 8 through nuclear blackma il.

Fur thermore, Zambia is profoundly perturbed by recent reports regarding the dumping of radioactive and toxic wastes by certain developed countries in some African countries. Africa already has innumerable problems, and it should not be subjected to this complex problem, It is morally wrong for countries that have decided to utilise nuclear energy to fail to devise measures for toxic waste management. They must have the foresight to prepare for the disposal of nuclear

## (Mr. Kunda, Zambi a)

waste. The obligation to use nucleat • norogy should always be accompanied by the obligation to secure disposal sites within the confines of • • • • energy user. To this and, it is our considered view that the international community should, through an international instrument, prohibit the dumping of radioactive and toxio wastes in the developing countries.

The quirties of nuclear testing ham been on the Committee's agenda for year son end without any consensus. We regard the realisation of a comprehensive nuclear-test ban am the hallmark of our activitier in our andeavour to halt and reverse the arms race and eventually to bring about general and complete disarmament under • ffootive international control. We rhould be unrelenting in our • ffortm towards a comprehensive test ban.

negotiations on the elimination of ohemical weapons. Chemical weapons are weapons

Of mass destruction which are next to nuclear weapons in lethality. In view of the

disturbing reports about the use of deadly ohemical weapons in recent years, my

delegation calls on the conference on Disarmament to speed up negotiations leading

to a comprehensive ban on chemical weapons. In this regard, my delegation welcomes

and ● ◆□□M□ ts the proposal by the United States of America on the holding of an

international conference to consider actions that we can take together to reverse

the hitherto serious erosion of the 1925 Geneva Protocol cutlawing the use of

ohemical weapons. In this connection, my delegation wishes to thank the Government

Of France for its quick response in offering to host such a conference in Paris

from 7 to 11 January 1989,

The question of conventional arms demerver urgent attention. It is common knowledge that; wing to the development of science and technology, conventional weapons have become increasingly lethal and destructive, thus dimin imhing significantly the diotinotion between conventional and low-power nuclear weapons.

## (Mr. Kunda, Zambia)

It is therefore necessary that the two super-Powerm and their respective military bloom, which possess the largest and most sophisticated conventional weapons, take the lead, am in the case of nuclear weapons, in the endeavour to reduce the stockpiles of conventional weapons to a reamnnable level of sufficiency.

In this connection, it is our hope that the East-West conventional stability talkr will seriously consider trimming the heavy concentration of conventional forces in Europa am a mark of the two blocs' ser iousness about conventional disarmament.

EXPRESSIONS OF SYMPATHY ON THE DEATH OF AIDA LOUISA LEVIN, SENIOR STAFF MEMBER OF THE SECRETARIAT

The CHAIRMAN: I have received a requeet from two delegations to make special statementr concerning the late Aida Levin. I shall call first on the Permanent Representative of Zaire, a former Chairman of our Committee,

Ambassador Baqbeni Adei to Nzengeya, and then on the representative of Jordan,

Mt. Obeidat.

behalf of the African Group of Sta tes, which I have the honour to chair this month,

I wish to express my momt sincere condolences to the Department for Disarmament

Affairs on the untimely death of Aida Levin, a senior officer of the United

Nations, who devoted most of her life to the United Nations and with when it was

our honour to work closely over the past few years. I also wish to extend to the

African Gover nment and to her family the sympathy of all the members of the

African Group.

Mr. OBEIDAT (Jordan) (interpretation from Arabic): T speak today as this month's Chairman of the Arab Group of States. We received with sadness the news of the sudden death of Aida Levin, who had an excellent record in disarmament affairs. She worked seriously and diligently, and we appreciated the exemplary

Obeidat, Jordan)

Argentina, and to the Secretary-General our sincerest caondolenoer. I pray God that she will go to Paradise and be blessed.\*

0

<sup>\*</sup>Mr. Batiouk (Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic) took the Chair.

### GENERAL DESATE ON APL D ISARMAMENT ITEMS (continued)

Mr. CHACON (Costa Rica) (interpretation from Spanish): My Government's pleasure at the • leotion of the Chairman and other officers of the Committee is well known, but I shall heed his reminder about article 110 of the rules of procedure and refrain from offering congratulations to them.

Assembly devoted to disarmament with a mixture of disappointment and optimism.

Disappointment, because we had felt that the seemingly propi tious international climate that prevailed at the time because of the conclusion of arms agreements between the two super-Power • would lead to a successful outcome of our deliberations, whereas that warn not the case and we were unable to reach the safe harbour of consensus. Optimism, because although agreement was not r • ached on a final document, we were, in trot, able to reach agreement on • ome very important issues.

In his report on the work of the Organization the Secretary-Goner al met forth ideas that might form the basis for productive discussions and action in the General Assembly in the field of disarmament in coming years. Our delegation fully qreem with him, because his ideas also form the basis of Costa Rica's foreign policy. We comment upon them freely, because they appear to be an indirect result of the discussions at the third special session devoted to disarmament.

We are in complete agreement with the Secretary-General's statement thatr
"Disarmament is not the exclusive responsibility of the two most powerful
States, but a joint undertaking of all States" (A/43/1, p. 13).

## (Mr. Chacon, Costa Rica)

Our country ham stressed that point in variour forums. The President of Costa Rica, Dr. Oscar Arias Sanchez, did so in his statement at the third special session devoted to dirarmament, when he said:

"In this crusade each nation has its own responsibility: some will have to des troy nuclear warheads, others the uniforms of soldiers) but all of us must work untiringly for disarmament." (A/S-15/PV.12, p. 26)

Tne Secretary-General is correct when he states:

"While nuclear disarmament must continue to be the pr imary oonoern, conventional dirarmament has acquired a new importance and urgency" ( $\frac{A}{43.1}$ ,  $\frac{A}{13.1}$ ).

We would go even further and say that, where conventional different is concerned, a tremendous responsibility is borne by the countries of the third world, because they are the pc incipal consumers of armements in the wor Id and because it is in those countries that the bloodiest wars since the end of the second world war have occur red. In his atatement last June, the President of Costa Rica also said:

"To strengthen common international efforts we must make the principles we apply uniform and make the morality by which we judge our own actions uniform as well. The fear of nuclear war, the horrors of an atomic end to the world, have rendered us insensitive to conventional warfare.

"The memory of Hiroshima is stronger than the memory of Viet Nam. "
(A/S-15/PV.12, pp. 27, 28)

### (Mr\_. Chacon, Costa Rica)

There should be the same respect with regard to the atomic bomb as there is with regard to the use of conventional weapons. We would like to see it made just as reprehensible to kill many people little by little every day as to kill everybody at one stroke. We live in a world in which if the atomic bomb was possessed by all countries in the world and the destiny of the world were to depend on one madman, we would have more respect for the use of conventional weapons and the peace of the universe would be more secure. Do we have the right to forget all those people who fell in the various wars of the twentieth century?

Today the world is divided into those who suffer from the terror of being destroyed in a nuclear war and those who are dying every day in conventional wars. The terror of ultimate war is so great that we have become insensitive to the use of and race in non-nuclear weapons. It is a matter of urgency, of simple intelligence and of morality that we should fight equally to see that there is no recurrence of Hiroshima, Viet Nam or Afghanistan.

The Secretary-General quite rightly in his report states that the qualitative aspect of the arms race needs to be addressed along with its quantitative aspect. It is indispensable to do that particularly because the borders between the conventional arms race and the nuclear arms race are becoming blurred. Weapons of mass destruction, the development of new arms technologies, the incorporation Of space technology in the invention of new machines of war and the application of the most sophisticated advances in micro-electronics or genetics have all contributed to this change in the environment of possible warfare and we are forced, therefore, to create new ways of thinking about disarmament.

We are not convinced, as we were before, that it is good to concentrate our attention solely on nuclear weapons or on what are known as conventional weapons. We believe that the Secretary-General is entirely right when he stresses

(Mr. Chaoon, Costa Rica)

that national security needs to be viewed in the broader context of global issues and inter national conorr ns.

We live in a completely interdependent world. That might seem to be an obviour truth in the • aonomic field but it has not born properly perceived in the political sphere. Whether we like it or not, today the security of each State is determined by the security of all and no nation can evade that issue. The major Powers and the medium--itod Powers all consider that their integrity is threatened when a regional or national conflict breaks out, won if it in very remote.

Similarly, the poor or small countries have a base for fooling that thr major and more powerful States, by their competition, are threatening their internal or regional mrourity. Within this context thr mmall Stat22 havr every right to demand of the groat and powerful States that they put an rind to thr • rmm race in the nuclear and • pace spheres because they feel, quite rightly, that they are threatened by the insanities that might be committed.

The same oould be raid about the feelings of the major and medium-sized Power • which stress that disarmament am a multilateral negotiation mhould be carried out particularly within the field of conventional weapons. No State can however deny the undoubted fact that a growing arms race of any type is a throat to the security of a 11 and doer not engender security for anyone.

we ntiroly agree with the Secretary-General when ho points out that the goals of disarmament and arms limitation need to be pur rued in oon junction with effor ts to resolve conflicts, build confidence and promote outmomio and rooial development. Thin war precisely my ooun try's purpose when we put forward the peace plan which laid the foundation for the procedure for achieving a stable and lasting peace in Central America. We mumt pur sue efforts to remolvo conflicts such as those of foliating the Central American nations by promoting confidence among them

(Mr. Chacon, Costa Rica)

and by promoting their • oonomio and mooial development, while at the same time pur ruing the goal of disarmament and arms limitation in our awn area.

The mama, we think, should be done in other parts of the world where, although vatious conflicts have been resolved in a propertion ious manner, we do not think the reference conflicts have been eradicated. Along with the Secretary-General we believe, am he of tates, that the existing machinery for dirarmament oan and mhould be utilized better. For us there is no doubt that all Statem can have recourse at any time to the instruments placed in our handr by the United Nations Charter and the organizations rmanating therefrom. We do not of Your with thome who think that we need to reform the Charter because of the now requirements of the mo-oalled technological era. Rather we fool that it is advisable to make better use of the existing provisions of the Charm and give the United Nations more political and financial support.

The capacity demonstrated over the past few monthm by the • xiating machinery of thr Uni trd Nation8 in the resolution of a number of regional conflicts has persuaded us even more that what was said by the Secretary-General should be a guideline for our conduct in the quert for disarmament, peace and international security.

Finally, we think that the Secretary-General has accurately interpreted the outliner of the thinking in the disarmament field when he referred to other promising elements deriving from the proceedings of the special session.

## (Mr. Chaoon, Co2 ta Rica)

He includes among theme the common positions with regard to the need to conclude a chemical weapons convention at the earliest) to mobilize modern technology in the cause of disarmament; to • nocurage States with major space capabilities to contribute actively to the objective of the exclusively peaceful use of outer space; and, finally, to consider the phenomenon of international arms transfers, with their impact on situations of actual or potential conflict.

Having placed itself within the is promising context, my delegation, along with that of Colombia, • ubmitted a draft rerolution aimed at promoting not only an in-depth debate on this problem but also a cerier of measures culminating, in the next few years, in the effective regulation of trade in arms, whether official or unofficial, legal or illegal, light or illigit, and whether the weapon2 he conventional or non-convention al.

Ye know that the question is a very complex one, but we know also that it is now necessary for the international community to take measures to confront it with determination. It is brooming clearer every day that these transfers are a threat to the secur ity, not only of nations, but at the international community and that they actively contribute to the exacerbation of regional conflicts in many parts of the world. We think that our initiative en joys the aupport of the great majority Of countries of good will and that it can lay the foundation for a completely new international process aimed at resolving a problem which, for a long time, had been considered more or less taboo in disarmament forums.

There is one further question of concern to us - the rationalization of the work of the First Committee. At its for ty-second session the General Aarembly, by a vast major ity and with no negative votes, approved a resolution that was  $\infty$ -sponsored by my delegation. We think that because that revolution warn adopted

(Mr. Chaoon, Costa Rica)

by an overwhelming majority of Member States, with no outright opposition, it should guide our search for rationalization of the work of this Committee. There oan be no doubt u to i to origin or the way it was adopted, nor can any doubt be cast on the results of the International Conference on the Relationship between Disarmament and Development by using the argument about not participating in it.

Democracy is roout majorities, and if we are unable  $\Phi \square$  wept the verdict of a majority we are not ready to live in  $\Phi$  up a system.

Together with the binding mandate of resolution 42/42 N, it seems to us, thr summary given by the Secretary-General in his report, to which we have referred several times, and the paper proposed recently by the Chairman of this Committee constitute another basis for looking for better organization of our work.

Nevertheless, nothing orn replace political will when it comes to putting order into the work of the Committee in this hour of financial or is is for the United Nations. Therefore my delegation has hastened to support with all its energy the efforts initiated at an early stage of our work by the Chairman of this Committee, Mr. Roche, and we offer him all possible assistance in finding a prompt and effective solution to these problems.

Mr. MOHIUDDIN (Bangladesh): The diligence and dexterity with which the Chairman of this Committee, Mr. Roche, has been conducting our deliberations deserve our highest praise. I wish therefore to felicitate him and the other officers of thr Committee upon their election. My delegation assures him of its fullest co-operation.

We are happy to be able to note that at this session we are meeting in an atmosphere of hope. The breaking out of peace a verywhere, the Treaty be tween the United States of America and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on the Elimination of Their Intermediate-Range and Shorter-Range Missiles, the general relaxation of tensions, and the enhanced interest in disarmament among Member

(Mr. Mohiuddin, Bangladesh)

Sta tes, provide us with a baokdrop knitted with confidence. We murt, however, beware of the risk that such confidence may be transformed into complacency. Nor must we believe that we have achieved enough to allow ourselves to be diverted, oven momentarily, from our aims or to reduce thr momentum in the pursuit of what we seek to attain.

We live in a world whore there still exists the explain power of almort four tons of dynamite for each 1 iving person. Our planet still bristles with over 50,000 nuclear warheads - sufficient to obliterate the global population hundreds of times over. Our combined conventional environment over 140,000 main battle tanks, 45,000 combat aircraft, 21,000 helicopter 8, 1,100 major warships and over 700 attack submarines - a most formidable plethors of devices for destruction. We still spend a trillion United States dollars on arms procurement. There are no signs that these figure 8 will decline in the near future. Our search for solutions to there problems must, therefore, continue relentlessly.

The stupendous stockpiling of weapons and the a8 tronomical • xpenditure on armament8 are unjustified and unrithical. Mere weapons cannot • nauro security.

Peace and stability will continue to be threatened an long as poverty, hunger, squalour and despair continue to bedevil the liver of billions. Is it not simply incredible that the total amount disbursed globally a8 official development assistance is 1088 than 20 per cent of that spent on weaponry, or that the radioation of malaria would cort less than 33 per cent of the price of a modern submarine? Is it, therefore, wrong to ask that we spend less on arms and divert the rest to alleviating the suffering of the millions? We may debate the modalities, but can we question the need?

We do not despair that the third special • oaaion on disarmament fell short of our expectations. True, it failed to r • rult in the adoption of a document by consensus. It nevertheless represented a significant advance in heightening

(Mr. Mohiuddin, Bangladesh)

• waronoll of the crucial issues involved. \*\* succeeded in identifying some areas for progress towards negotiated settlement. It encouraged Member States to deliberate on and debate ideas and issues that will surely facilitate future • gr• 8mont. It also pointed to the risks of a continued arms race and underscored the urgings of the overwhelming majority that the now atmosphere of understanding rhould propel us to peace.

Bangladesh, as all are aware, ham rejected the nuclear literative. We have therefore \( \precedet = \p

### (Mr. Moh iuddin, Bangladesh)

Nuclear weapons undeniably constitute the greatent threat and demand priority attention. It is also true that the use of nuclear weapons represents an infinitely greater prospect of incalculable harm to the future of mankind than the use of conventional weapons.

This is Craught with ever-increasing risks an irrational régimes like South Africa and Israel acquire these capabilitier. Nuclear armaments in their hands could have hor rendous consequences for the world at large. We strongly condemn their acquisition of nuclear arms.

At the same time we cannot afford to forget that all the war s fought • inoe

World War II, which have cost over 20 million lives, have been conventional, There
are regions in the world today where a breakdown of the intrioate conventional
balance would engulf the region concerned, and perhaps the world, in an Armegeddon
that could include nuclear hor ror.

Today there conventional weapon8 are acquiring increasing soph is tication through technological advances. Same precision-guided munition8 are now able to strike at exact targets at vart distances. In terms of destruction caused, the differences between there and some low-yield nuclear weapons are being gradually and alarmingly reduced. There Core my delegation believes that if some States have developed conventional capabilities and force attructure that have become a cause of apprehension for other a, there must be reduction8 in a balanced and equitable manner so that, while not affecting genuine security requirements, stability is enhanced at lower military levels,

There should be adequate transparency and exchange of data and information among Staten so as to generate an ambience of confidence in the region. With the successful Stockholm Conference on Confidence- and Secur ity-Building Measures and Disarmament in Europe, Europe has shown the way, and this is worthy of emulation by

## (Mr. Mohiuddin, Bangladesh)

other regions. If neighbours begsn to have confidence in the behaviour patterns of their neighbour 8, the ensuing process would vastly reduce tension in every region of the world.

Our outlet to the sea is important for Bangladesh. We are, therefore, committed to peaceful uses of the sea and its resources. Our abiding support for the implementation of the Declaration of the Indian Ocean as a Zone of Peace is in pursuance of this commitment. Naval build-up oan spread fear and apprehension. There is, therefore, a clear case for restraint in naval activities in every region. The cri tar is for legitima to security needs of States in this sphere should be carefully formulated.

The importance of verification both as a concept and set of procedures is acquire fing a significant dimension. For instance, it we do have a comprehensive test ban treaty, a global seismic system would be required to verify completance. we are happy that considerable technical work is being carried out in this respect.

It is our firm believe that in all these and related • n&avour s the United Nations abould play an effective and expanded role, This is a forum where nearly all States of the world are represented. The United Nation8 can, and must, make an important contribution in encouraging agreements and laying down verification procedures. If this role in gradually acquiring more salience, it is a positive development.

At this point mey I stress that, in our opinion, and it is one shared by many, an expanded conference on disarmament could adequately reflect the global political nitration. Such expansion could be achieved gradually over a limited time-f came, maintaining the balance of the Conference and thus enhancing its ability to function more effectively,

(Mr. Mch iuddin, Bangladesh)

We in Bangladesh hope for a world where force, and the thtut of its use, can be totally abjured am • instrument of policies of States. We are wedded to the concept of general and complete disarmament. This is what led us to accede to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons. For the • ammreasons we

uppott the early convening of the review conference on it. May I rei terate our firm rnd total conviction that durable peace orn only be established through the
 ● ※■※■◎◆※□■ and destruction of nuclear and other weapons of mass destruction. We therefore support the ● rtablimhmmnt of nuclear free zones ● d zones of peace in ● vrryregion.

The fate of nrnk ind r • mtm in our hmdm. In our noble rim we cannot and we must not fail.

If our hop is for disarmament, our quest is for peace, and our aspir ation is f a development.

There is nothing that human will and determination cannot achieve. Our own goals • e no different. The Chairman's wise guidance will no doubt bring us close: to their achievement.

Mr s. DIALLO (Senegal) (interpretation from French): My delegation ham participated with real interest in the work of each session of the First Committee the deliberative and leading body in the field of disarmament and international security. This year, we are pretioululy gratified to note that mm we are holding our meetings the complexity of challenges and the succession of threats are giving way to elear will to restore and trengthen peace and stability. The international community is no longer a helpless witness to the useless, committy and destabilizing build-up of weapons.

(Mr I. Dia 110, Senega 1)

On the oon trary, in addition to the effort made on the bilateral and regional level, the • aaroh for a • trrtogy is continuing within thr competent bodies of the United Nations in order better to cope with all • mpootm of the phenomenon. The numerous contactm and dialogues are continuing and ideas are bring further developed by those bodies responsible for dimarmamont. And, for the tirmt time since World War II, the objective of eliminating the danger of nuclear war and, therefore, the broader objective of general and complete disarmament, is within our grasp.

That is why, for my drirgation, thr fact that the Chairman of the Committee is from Canada is not an • coidmnt. Canada • howm the world thrimage of a peaceful people dedicated to freedom, championm of peace and universal justice. It is therefore natural that at thim time one of itm best diplomatm should be the Chairman of the First Committee - a fertile field for a tlourishing of ideas in the area of disarmament and international security.

My delegation would like to welcome the start of the implementation of the tirmt nuclear dirarmament agreement concluded between the Soviet Union and the United States to eliminate their intermediate—and shor ter-range nuclear missiles. We mhould alma like to pay tribute to the efforts which are being made by these two countries to conclude a treaty for a 50 per cent reduction of their strategic nuclear weaponr. Senegal views theme actr and attitudes an harbingers of a new dynamism leading to an era of lasting peace for which all Staten, nuclear or non-nualeat, large or rmall, rioh or poor, must work tirelessly.

This positive development allows us to be optimistic regarding the tuturr of disarmament and maker us urge the Committee, within the framework of its work, to

(Mr s. Diallo, Senega 1)

give greater attention to the question of the non-use of nuclear weapons • 🗆 inmt

States which are signatories to the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear

Weapons (NPT). In fact those States which have formally renounced a sovereign right

solely in order to contribute to the elimination of the danger of nuclear war,

deserve reliable and verifiable guarantees of their own security from the nuclear

Power • It would therefore be right to give thought to their situation, bearing in

mind that any measures taken to protect thom are in trot tantamount to a

strengthening of the authority of the NPT.

(Mrs. Diallo, Senegal)

My delegation is concerned by the massive use of conventional weapons in many conflicts, their devastating effects in terms of loss of life and material and I. cological damage, and the vast financial resources devoted to them. We therefore encourage the efforts under way in some regions, in particular Europe, towards a substantial reduction of these weapons, whose tendency to engender war is widely recognized.

Similarly, the creation and development of new categories of airborne or outer space weapons must be discouraged. In that context we welcome France's decision to act as host, from 7 to 11 January 1989 at Paris, to a Conference to consider ways of strengthening respect for the 1925 Geneva Protocol banning chemical weapons.

The Committee is considering the question of the dumping in Africa of nuclear and toxic indus tr ial wastes. Disposal of these wastes is creating more and more problems. There have been attempts to submerge them at sea, to hurl them into the stratosphere and to bury them under ground. None of those methods having yielded technically satisfactory results, certain transnational corporations have begun dumping their wastes in Africa. To us, that is unacceptable and the threat particularly serious. My delegation demands that these practices be condemned and that measures be adopted to put an end to them, to the benefit of all.

The United Nations is now experiencing a period of renewal, marked by increasing convergence of Member States' assessments of the international situation, and by prospects for solution of regional conflicts such as those in Afghanistan, the Persian Gulf, Western Sahara, Chad and southern acrica. In all those regions, where each conflict has its own dynamic and internal logic, the United Nations has rought about a true peace process it would now like to conclude successfully. My delegation hopes this will be encouraged, for the final establishment of international peace and security.

(Mr s. Diallo, Senegal)

Only in that way oan we reverse the present trend and reallocate to development the insane sums now spent on weapons. In the final analysis, disarmament is meaningful only when it frees energy for the only useful struggle: thestruggles insthunger, ignorance, illness and underdevelopment.

Clearly, our hoper are tinged with distress. The decolonization of Africa is incomplete; or prrthoid persists am an insult to human dignity) the situation in the occupied Palestinian and Arab territories remains alarming; and entire region@ are the contant victime of natural disasters.

Thr reasons are the same as those set out as early am 16 April 1953 by President Eisenhower:

"Each cannon manufactured, each war ship launched, each shot fired is ultimately something stolen from those who are hungry and have no food, from those who are cold and have no clothes. This armed world is not spending only money; it is spending the sweat of its workers, the brilliance of its sages, the hopes of its children."

That is why, despite our weak influence in the area of arms and disarmament - or perhaps because of it - we continue to recall the need to take account in our assessments of the actions and positions of all sides, values linked to the higher interests of all and to the universal aspiration to peace.

My delegation is among those that believe the machinery and institutions for disarmament should be to gethered. Similarly, the promotion of disarmament should be continued with determination, through training and information programmes. Here we support the United Nations programme of fellowships in disarmament, the World Disarmament Campaign and Disarmament Work, We believe in the value of disarmament research and studies, and consider that there is a great role for the regional centree for peace and disarmament.

(Mrs. Dir ilo, Senegal)

My dryrgation is convinced \*\*\*\* • aoh generation murt find its own mission, And • ithor fulfil it or betray it. Senegal's is to establish un iversal peace and justice, and for our part we renew our commitment to spare no effort for the triumph of the ideals of peace and rollidar ity among peoples And nations.

The meeting rose at 11.35 a.m.